end of the first generation. The compound name of vermalis-amplacrocens might in some localities and years be applied to the fourth generation, to be exact. There thus exists: C. hyale, L., race hyale, L.: I. gen. vernalis, Trity. ; II. gen. hyale, L.-(. hyale, L., race calida, Vrty.: I. gen. rernalis, Vrty.; II. gen. calida, Vrty.; III. gen. calida, Trty.; IV'. partial emergence or extraordinary gen. hyale, L.--1'. wocens, Fourcr., race wocens, Fourcr.: I. gen. cermalis, Vity.; II. gen. ampla, Vrty.; III. gen. crocens, Fourcr.; IV. partial gen. remalisamplerrocens, Vrty.-Fourcr. (in some localities and years), or autmmalis, Rocci. According to Tutt, as far north as England, the individuals of $C$. crocens which reach it in the spring may, in favourable years, produce two other generations. As the different features of the rarious generations have only so recently been established in the sonth, where they are probably more prominent, we hare as yet 110 knowledge as regards these in Central Europe, including Paris, whence the species was described. That is why I abstain from designating the southern race by a different name. It may be that arupla is peculiar to it and should be used for the entire race, as distinguished from race erocens, consisting only of rernalis and rrocens. Barrett records, however, that in June, 1877, particularly large and bright crocens were produced in Pembrokeshire by larve which had survived the English winter, extremely mild that year; they may have been ample, but anyhow, this remark shows that in the north seasonal polymorphism is much more erratic than in the south, because the small rermalis was cut ont.
(To be continued.)

## Notes on the Zygrnida of Provence (France).

By G. T. Bethune-BaKER, F.L.S., F.Z.S.
One of my objects in visiting Provence in 1920 was to investigate, so far as circumstances might permit, the local races of the genus $/ y y \%{ }^{\prime}$ The distribution of $Z$. astralagi, Bkh. = hipmocreqnidis, Hb., is as far as I can trace quite a matter of hypothesis, as is its relation to \%. trams. alpina, Esp., and also to \%. alpima, Boisd. I take it for granted that all those who have studied this group are now satisfied that none of these species, including also Z. anyelicae, are local maces of either Z. filipendulae or $/$. stoerhalis; whilst another point of interest is the relation of this group with the little assemblage of species named by Oberthiur rentralis, i.e., centralis-epntralis, centralis-nccilentalis, and centralis-procincialis. Before considering this question I should like to again raise the synonymical value of Z. loti, Schiff. Dr. Verity is of the opinion that the name should be used for transalpina, Esper, but he has unfortunately given us no reasons for such use. I went very fully into this synonymy in vol. 32, p. 76 (1920), of this magazine, and will not therefore repeat it here except to remind my readers that judging from Esper's figure, loti, Esp., is probably filipendular var. cytisi, and loti-vera (boti, Schift.) is most likely referable to moliloti. With the name loti, I shall shortly deal in detail. But to return to the Kygatidau I observed in Provence.

From the point of view of numbers actually captured aud seen $\%!!\mu a n a$ centrulis v. provincialis was much the most abundant. I took about one hundred-and-fifty specimens at la Sainte Baume and at

Mont Ventonx: Monsieur Oberthïr has very kindly sent me labelled specimens of the various forms (referred to in his Lepiloptera Comparée) of the transalpina, filipendulue, and lonicerae groups, so that I am fortunate in having his determinations, and the majority of my Sainte Baume specimens are thoroughly typical of the specimens be sent me, which were taken in Var and also at Montrienx. In a long series we, of course, find variations, and many of my specimens have broad margins to the secondaries, there is, however, wonderfully little variation in the spots of the primaries, there are a very few specimens with largish spots, but even this is rare. The series from Mont Ventoux is not quite typical. Here I took about twenty-five specimens and all have the margins to the secondaries almost linear, whilst the spots in the primaries are decidedly larger in all the specimens, and in half of them they are more or less inclined to confluence, but not to the extent obtaining in centralis-occidentalis, these are a well marked group and apparently centralis will prove to be a distinct species. In the neighbourbood around St. Martin Vésubie the species belonging to the transalpina section that I found plentifully was maritima, Obth., it also seems to be easily distinguishable.

Monsieur Oberthür kindly sent me half-a-dozen specimens labelled hippocrepidis v. alpina-mo doubt this is Boisduval's insect. Whilst at Digne in 1911, and again the year before last, I took a fair series of a Kyy, endeavoured to fit in with Oberthiur's examples, but I cannot make them agree either in the pattern or in structnre. I have dissected out the genitalia of each and there are certainly two species, that is to say alpina does not agree with my series from ligne, and 1 regret that I cannot yet identify with any certainty my specimens though I hope to do so later. \%y!aena ilipendulae, 久. trimili, Z. lonicerae, Z. tansta, and $\%$. camiolica will be referred to later on, but it is interesting to note that at Old Nans, some 500 feet below la Sainte Raume, I took a single specimen of $Z$. occitanica. In no place did I take transalyina and centralis together, the latter in its form centralis-prorincialis seems to replace transalyina at la Sainte Baume.

The most interesting feature of this genus in this district is the fact that at la Sainte Baume I took a fair series of filipendulae, about two dozen individuals, and that balf of them are a five-spotted form. I could bave taken many more but did not realise that I was taking two species matil I came home, and even then it was only (being somewhat donbtful of one or two specimens) the examination of the genitalia that revealed for certain what I had before me. The specimens in question are rather smaller than the average six-spotted filipendular; they are somewhat thinly scaled and of slighter build, generally the margin of the hindwings is narrowly black, but in several specimens it is as wide as in the trimalii that I took alongside them, and as a matter of fact in the first instance I placed all the fivespotted form under that species, especiaily as most had not a sixth spot on the underside. I took also several at Digne and one at St. Martin Vésubie; these, however, mnlike the majority of those from la Sainte Bamme, have a well marked trace of the sixth spot on the under-surface. It seems to me that we have a well marked form being here developed and that it is quite worthy of a name. I therefore propose to call it filipendulac var. quinymentumla, the la Sainte Bame
specimens being the type form. Zy!!uena trifolii in its race orobi was common at la Sainte Baume, but I did not take it elsewhere, except that I captured one typical trifolii, i.e., with the central spots confluent, at Bedoin.
Z. lonicerae was rare at la Sainte Baume, but very common in its fine race major, Frr., everywhere around Sit. Martin Vésubie, where I took a long and beautitul series. Four specimens of \%. meliloti fell to my net. I do not know whether this species has been recorded from la Sainte Baume before, the genitalia prove them to be this insect though I had no doubt of it from their general appearance. Z. stoechadis var', dubia, Stgr., was very common at St. Martin Vésubie especially in the higher parts, the specimens being large and handsome and also somewhat variable; the great majority had five spots in the primaries but I took a few six-spotted specimens. The hindwings were likewise variable, all being richly red, but the dark borders Taried considerably, some were comparatively narrow and very even in width; some few had very broad borders with the fold well invaded below vein 2 ; whilst between these two extremes there are many grades. I did not take this species at la Sainte Bamme at all.

We spent two or three days at Digne, and in that short visit I netted three specimens of $Z$. cphialtes, but none were of the type form. I took one pencedami, one prinsi, and one aurantiaca.

Turning now to the carniolica section, this species was not rare at la Sainte bamme, all the specimens being of the hedysari form. I took but one at St. Martin Yésubie, which is the var. diniensis; at Digne the species was very common, the majority being also of the diniensis variety, but I took a fair series of the type form also, these being smaller than Herrich-Sebäffer's variety. Z. funsta occurred sparingly at la Sainte Baume, it was only coming out as we left. At Mont Ventoux where it was going orer I took several nice specimens, whilst at Digne it was very common indeed, though all were of the type race.
Z. achillece occurred only at Digne, where it was not uncommon, in Jnly. Of $/$. brizae I took a small series at la Sainte Banme, where I also captured two specimens of $\%$. surpedon. K. purpuralis did not occur at la Sainte Banme, that is to say, we did not take it there, but it was common around St. Martin Vésubie. Of the genus Ino I took but three specimens, one beautiful male of $I$. mumi and two worn males of $l$, statices var. crassicomis.

## The Farn Collection.

On March 14th last the sale of the above was continued, the first 196 lots comprising the remainder of the Rhopalocera.

A dark Pararye aeteria fetched 20s. and another dark form with spotless hindwings, 35s.

I'araryemegera var. bipupillata (alherti) realised 5s., 7s. and Ss. per lots of two, three and six. Series of C'ocnom!mphut tiphon from various localities realised 42 s , and two lots of undersides each of 48 and 46 , 60 s . and 80 s . Included in these were sereral fair forms of the rare aberration lancenlata. Fifty-one ! Irebia wethiops, several slightly varying, fetched 56 s., and 53 undersides 20s.

The series of Theclas included nothing special, excepting a broadly


